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(The meeting commenced at 1:42 p.m.)
MR. MICHAEL J. RYAN: Okay. Yes, good afternoon. Today is [unintelligible] [00:12:46] of the Commissioners of Elections. My name is Michael Ryan. I'm the executive director and I will start with the roll call of commissioners. President Taylor?

PRESIDENT PATRICIA ANNE TAYLOR: Good afternoon, I'm here.

MR. RYAN: Thank you. Secretary Umane? Secretary Umane?

SECRETARY FREDERIC UMANE: Here.
MR. RYAN: There you go. Can you just repeat it so that it's recorded? Thank you.

SECRETARY UMANE: Here, here.
MR. RYAN: Thank you.
SECRETARY UMANE: Here, here.
MR. RYAN: Gotcha. Commissioner Araujo?
COMMISSIONER JOSE ARAUJO: Present.
MR. RYAN: Commissioner Camilo?
COMMISSIONER MIGUELINA CAMILO: Present, good afternoon.

MR. RYAN: Good afternoon. Commissioner
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Marmorato?
COMMISSIONER GINO MARMORATO: Present, good afternoon, everyone.

MR. RYAN: Good afternoon. Commissioner Michel?

COMMISSIONER MICHAEL MICHEL: Present.
MR. RYAN: Commissioner Pepe-Souvenir?
You're on mute, commissioner.
COMMISSIONER RODNEY PEPE-SOUVENIR: Good
afternoon, everyone, present.
MR. RYAN: Good afternoon Commissioner Shamoun?

COMMISSIONER SIMON SHAMOUN: Present, thank you.

MR. RYAN: Thank you. Commissioner
Townsend? Commissioner Townsend, we see your name. Can we see hear voice?

COMMISSIONER TIFFANY TOWNSEND:
Apologies, hi, here. Thank you.
MR. RYAN: Thank you. Alright. Commissioner Zaccone?

COMMISSIONER JOHN WM. ZACCONE: Present, good afternoon everyone.
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MR. RYAN: Good afternoon, thank you. My name is Michael Ryan, I am the executive director. And seated to my left is deputy executive director, Dawn Sandow. Manager Pamela Perkins, operations manager, Georgea Kontzamanis. I also believe staff member Mr. Sattie will be assisting us from elsewhere in the building as well. Seat in the table before me that will be participating in these hearings are deputy general counsel Rafael Savino, as well as staff members from the Candidate Records Unit. That said, item number one on the agenda and we will also have to add an executive session for personnel and litigation, so that will be added at the end.

In any event, item number one is hearings for the independent nominating petitions for the February 2, 2021 special election for the New York City Council in the 24 th Council district in Queens County, which causes me to be reminded that I did not acknowledge the Queens staff that will be participating in these hearings as well. And I can see Ms. Berinato and

Mr. Haggerty, and I'm not certain what other staffers are there. But in any event, they're up on the screen, so if they need to participate, they're available to do so.

That said, this hearing will be conducted virtually. There are participants that will be brought in from the waiting room to participate at the time that those matters are called. I would just like to remind all of the commissioners and all of the participants ultimately to please keep their individual microphones on mute and they will be, individuals will be called on as needed to be recognized and I will handle that portion of it as well as Mr. Sattie from elsewhere in the building will advise us as to when there are participants that need to be brought in from the waiting room and that will handled by staff as well. So, with all that background having been done, President Taylor, the proceedings are yours.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. Ryan. Good afternoon. My name is Patricia Anne Taylor, and I am the Democratic commissioner for Richmond
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County and president of the Board of Elections in the City of New York for calendar year 2020 .

I now call this hearing by the Board of Elections in the City of New York to order. As the roll has already been called, we'll dispense with that requirement regarding the hearing rules unless there is objection. Hearing none, we'll move on.

Today's hearings relate to the specifications of objections to matters related to the February 2, 2021 special election for the public office of council member in the 24 th Council District in the City of New York, Queens County.

We convene today once again under the most extraordinary circumstances in our memory. The COVID-19 virus has changed the way that we live and work here in the City of New York and that is why and my colleagues appear today via a video conference link, as will most of the candidates and objectors or their representatives.

Commissioners and staff of the Board of
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Election are most mindful our responsibility to ensure that a fair, honest, open and lawful electoral process continues within our city. These hearings will be conducted in accordance with the provisions of the New York State Election Law, the Board's independent nominating petition rules adopted on December 18, 2018, the emergency procedures, which modified those rules, adopted on April 14, 2020 and executive orders issued by the governor of the State of New York, which modified provisions of the Open Meetings Law as well as the New York State Election Law. The most significant modification for this hearing, as noted, as the way it is being conducted, largely remotely using Webex. Each of the commissioners is participating through that system, along with our key members, as are all of the candidates, objectors and their representatives who have registered to appear at this hearing.

The schedule for today's hearing was posted on the Board's website and the information shared on various social media as well as posting
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it at all Board of Elections offices. Under the Board's emergency procedures, each candidate and each objector or a designated representative had to file their notice of appearance for these hearings by 3:00 p.m. on Monday, December 7, 2020. That information was used to send directions on how to participate in this Webex video conference meeting.

In addition, persons who wanted to appear in person for this hearing at the Board's executive office were required to communicate that request to the Board no later than 3:00 p.m. on Monday, December 7, 2020.

Please note that these emergency procedures were prominently posted on the Board's website and were referenced in many of the notices sent out by the Board and communicated to the candidate and objectors.

In addition, each of the calendars
listing the matters that the commissioners will consider were posted on the Board's website as well in the Board's hearing room.

I have asked that the Board's executive
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director, who is on site, Michael J. Ryan, coordinate our activities today using this technology as that will ensure that our meetings are conducted in a more orderly fashion. I turn over the gavel to you, Mr. Ryan.

MR. RYAN: Thank you, Madam President. As we already stated, given the use of technology please keep your individual microphones on mute. That said, as a reminder, all persons appearing before this hearing must have filed their notice of appearance by yesterday, Monday, December 7, 2020, in accordance with the commissioners' emergency procedures as adopted.

In addition, please reminded all commissioners, board staff, attorneys, candidates and objectors that only one person can speak at a time, as the audio visual system cannot accurately record the proceedings if individuals are stepping on each other's conversations. In addition, I'm sure that folks notice, we have sign language interpreters for the hearing impaired who also have to be able to decipher what individual speakers are saying so that that

December 8, 2020 translation can be accurately transmitted to the hearing impaired members or our audience and/or participants if there are any.

As a further reminder, I want to advise all present that the board is required by law to record how each commissioner votes on each matter. In that, in the event that the commissioners are not unanimous in their determination on a given matter, I will ask that our counsel conduct -- or I will conduct, I should say, a roll call vote of the commissioners for the record. That having been said, I will call upon deputy general counsel, Rafael Savino now to call the calendar for this hearing today.

MR. RAFAEL SAVINO: Good afternoon, commissioners. We're going to start with the CRU Prima facie calendar, which Mr. Graves is going to address.

MR. MATTHEW GRAVES: Good afternoon, commissioners. Our first matter concerns the independent body Community First petition, which has Michael Earl Brown as the candidate. The matter of explanation, petition lacks the

December 8, 2020
necessary number of signatures to support candidacy and is facially defective. And potential for 48 signatures filed, 450 signatures required.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Are there any appearances on this?

MR. SAVINO: I'm sorry, appearances?
MR. RYAN: Mr. Sattie, are there any appearances for that matter?

MR. TOM SATTIE: No.

MS. GEORGEA KONTZAMANIS: No.
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: I would move that we adopted the clerks' report, the prima facie report as it relates to this candidate.

COMMISSIONER MICHEL: Second.
MR. SAVINO: Just to keep you advised commissioners, I know Ms. Steiner is in the waiting room, and she feels that based on that ruling, she should not have to change her party name to Community First.

MR. RYAN: Mr. Savino, the ruling has not been completed yet. Right now, what we have is a motion and a second. As soon as we conclude
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COMMISSIONER MICHEL: Michel.
MR. RYAN: Commissioner Michel has seconded the motion. A motion is on the floor, having been made and seconded. Are there any objections? Hearing none, the motion carries. Mr. Savino.

MR. SAVINO: Apologies, commissioners, it's up to you whether you'd like to hear from Ms. Steiner on that issue now or perhaps at the end of these hearings. And then I will continue.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: We can do it at the end. Yeah, we could do it at the end, because it's going to come up anyway, because I know a clerks' report has already been done on this matter.

MR. SAVINO: Thank you, commissioners.
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: So if you want, we can call her in at that point, but let's finish

December 8, 2020 off with this report.

MR. GRAVES: Commissioners, the second item concerns a proof of service that was filed with Spec QN19-1 with candidate Soma Syed an objector Cornelius Woodies. The matter is incorrect or omitted objection number from the proof of service as per rule H12, proof of service attached to original specification, as per rule H12.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Any appearances on there?

MR. RYAN: Oh, any appearances?
MR. SATTIE: There is in this case, I'm going to let Angel Cruz into the room.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Mr. Cruz
represents who, the candidate or the objector?
MR. SATTIE: I believe Mr. Cruz
represents the objector?
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Okay.
MS. KONTZAMANIS: Mr. Cruz is in the
room.
MR. RYAN: Yes. Mr. Cruz, you represent, we're just confirming you represent the objector
in this matter? This would be in the matter of Soma S. Syed.

COMMISSIONER TOWNSEND: Commissioner
Taylor, we can't hear you.
MR. RYAN: We're just confirming you represent the objector in this matter? This would be in the matter of Soma $S$. Syed.

MR. SATTIE: No, he's the candidate.
MR. CRUZ: I represent the candidate.
MR. RYAN: Okay. Alright. So Mr. Cruz represents the candidate.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Is there anyone here for the objector?

MR. SATTIE: No.
MR. RYAN: No, commissioner.
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Mr. Cruz, right now, the object- the report is requesting that the objections be kicked overtime for omitting to put the proof of service on the objection. Do you have any position on that?

MR. CRUZ: I would second that.
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Well, you can't second that, but you can make the request. So I
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MR. RYAN: Is there a second?
COMMISSIONER MICHEL: Second.

MR. RYAN: Commissioner Michel seconded. We now have a motion and a second? Are there any objections? Hearing none, the motion carries. And so the candidate is off the ballot, right? I'm going to summarize it anyway, right?

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: The candidate is on the ballot.

MR. RYAN: On the ballot.
MR. SAVINO: The candidate is on the ballot.

MR. RYAN: Right, okay.
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: [unintelligible]
[00:27:53] second the objections?
MR. SAVINO: Yes, commissioner, the candidate remains on the ballot.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Remains on the ballot.

MR. SAVINO: The specification was removed.
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COMMISSIONER TOWNSEND: Okay.
MR. SAVINO: And will not be not be called when -- during the clerks' report.

MR. RYAN: Gotcha.
MR. SATTIE: Okay?
MR. RYAN: Yes. Thank you for that clarification.

MR. CRUZ: Thank you.
MR. GRAVES: Commissioners, our final
matter on the CRU prima facie report.
MR. RYAN: Wait one second. Please return Mr. Cruz, are you -- he's not on any other matters, okay. He's been returned to the waiting room. We've just got to be mindful of that, okay. It's a little bit of a juggling act.

MR. GRAVES: Oh.
MR. RYAN: It's okay. Alright.
MR. GRAVES: I apologize.
MR. RYAN: So go ahead.
MR. SAVINO: Any appearances on this?
MR. GRAVES: The final matter concerns proof of service for $Q N 13-1$ specification listing Mujib Rahman candidate, Cornelius Woodies

December 8, 2020 objector, and again we have the same matter, which is incorrect omitted objection number from the proof of service, as per rule H12 and proof of service attached to original specification. MR. RYAN: Are there any appearances, Mr. Sattie?

MR. SATTIE: In this case, yes, the candidate, Mujib Rahman is in the waiting room. We're going to call him in now.

MR. RYAN: Thank you.
MS. KONTZAMANIS: He's in the room.
MR. RYAN: Okay. Mr. Rahman.
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: The report is requesting that the board dismiss the objections as a result of the objection number being omitted from the proof of service. Is there anything you want to add?

MR. MUJIB U. RAHMAN: It is necessary to add if dismiss, $I$ don't have anything to say, but I have to say anything, $I$ can read a statement.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Alright. If there's nothing to add, I would move that we adopt the prima facie report as recommended.
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COMMISSIONER MICHEL: Commissioner Michel, second.

MR. RYAN: Okay. There is a motion and a second on the floor. Are there any objections? Hearing none, the motion carries.

MR. SAVINO: Commissioners, I will not begin with --

MR. RYAN: Does that conclude the prima facie calendar?

MR. SAVINO: Oh, yes, I apologize. That concludes the CRU prima facie calendar.

MR. RYAN: Okay. And so, just to reiterate, Candidate Michael Earl Brown is, the report was adopted, and remains -- is now off the ballot. Soma S. Syed and Mujib U. Rahman, the objections were dismissed and the candidates remain on the ballot.

MR. SAVINO: Alright. Going to Queens Spec 6, the first one on the calendar, there is a clerks' report.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: NOw, commissioners, just so you know, this letter was just dismissed with the prima facie case, so I
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would move to dismiss it as moot. Mr. Ryan, did you get my application?

MR. RYAN: Yes, you said you want to dismiss it as --

COMMISSIONER MICHEL: I believe, I believe Mr. Savino just called Spec number six, which I don't think was removed.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: No, I thought he called Spec number one.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: No, he did not.
MR. SAVINO: No, I was going in the order of the calendar that was prepared, commissioners. And the first thing on there is Spec six.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: I'm sorry. I'm going by the actual spec number order. I apologize. I withdraw that application.

MR. RYAN: Where is the specification calendar? I only had the prima facie calendar.

MS. SANDOW: Let me.
MR. RYAN: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER TOWNSEND: I don't have that either.
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MR. RYAN: Alright. Specification number --

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: I don't see that either.

MR. RYAN: -- is actually in first position on the, on the specification calendar. And the name of the candidate is Deepti Sharma. Is that the matter that you were proceeding on, Mr. Savino?

MR. SAVINO: That is correct. Objections by Luis Monterrosa, petition of Deepti Sharma, under the independent body of A Better Queens, as we all know it's for City Council District 24. Number of signatures submitted by candidate, 1,193, total valid number of signatures, 469, number of signatures required, 450. Appearances?

MR. SATTIE: There are appearances for both the candidate and the objector. I will be calling in, we'll be calling in Sarah Steiner and Martin Connor. Martin Connor is for the candidate and Sarah Steiner for the objector.

MR. MARTIN CONNOR: Martin Connor, Martin Connor for the candidate. Can you hear me?
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MS. KONTZAMANIS: They're both in.
MR. CONNOR: Yeah.
MR. RYAN: Yes. And Sara Steiner is for the objector? Ms. Steiner, we see your name, but we don't hear your voice.

MS. KONTZAMANIS: She's muted.
MR. RYAN: Okay.
MS. SARAH STEINER: Unmute me.
MR. RYAN: We need her to be unmated so that she can state her appearance on the record.

MS. STEINER: I'm looking for me.
MR. SAVINO: Petition on the, on the specification calendar and the name of the candidate is --

COMMISSIONER CAMILO: Is someone else hearing the hearing on a different device, because we're getting a feedback.

COMMISSIONER PEPE-SOUVENIR: I'm hearing it too, yeah.

MR. RYAN: Yes.
MS. STEINER: I, there I am. I think, can you hear me?

MR. RYAN: Yes, we can.
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MS. STEINER: Okay.
MR. RYAN: Okay. So, Mr. --
MS. KONTZAMANIS: I think she's logged
in twice.
MR. RYAN: Oh, okay. Apparently Ms. Steiner is logged in twice. So Ms. Steiner and Mr. Connor, please keep your microphones on mute. We'll let Mr. Savino go through the matter again and then $I$ will recognize you first in the order of Mr. Connor for the candidate, and then Ms. Steiner for the objector. And if there's any necessary back and forth, I'll ask you each if you have anything further you wish to add, just so that we can keep an order to the proceedings. So, Mr. Savino, please proceed.

MR. SAVINO: Certainly. Objections by Luis Monterrosa, independent body name A Better Queens, to the petition of Deepti Sharma, as we know, for member of the City Council 24 th District. Number of signatures submitted for candidate, 1,193, total valid signatures, 469, number of signatures required 450.

MR. RYAN: Yes, Mr. Connor, do you wish
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to be heard? And if so, please unmute.
MR. CONNOR: Am I unmuted?

MR. RYAN: Yes.
PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Yes.

MR. RYAN: We can hear you. Now, you're muted again.

MR. CONNOR: Okay. I'm unmated. Thank you.

MR. RYAN: Alright.
MR. CONNOR: That's okay. I got kicked out of the lobby before, I don't know why. But I move the clerks' report.

MR. RYAN: Thank you, Mr. Connor. Ms.
Steiner, do you wish to be heard?
MS. STEINER: I have no objection.
MR. RYAN: Okay.
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: This is

Commissioner Araujo. I'd move the clerks' report.
MR. RYAN: Commissioner Michel?

COMMISSIONER MICHEL: Commissioner
Michel, second.

MR. RYAN: There's a motion and a second. Are there any objections? Hearing none,
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COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Objections?
MR. RYAN: Yeah, hearing none, the motion carries.

MR. SAVINO: The candidate remains on the ballot.

MS. STEINER: I am still waiting to speak about the party name.

MR. RYAN: Yes, we're going to, Ms.
Steiner, we're going to get to that. The commissioners, you didn't hear, made a determination. We're going to go through these matters and we'll get to you before --

MS. STEINER: I'll be back in the waiting room.

MR. RYAN: -- before we go home, we'll get to you on that, okay. Thank you.

MR. CONNOR: Thank you, commissioners.
MR. SAVINO: Commissioners, you have --
MR. RYAN: Thank you, Mr. Connor.
MR. SAVINO: With respect to Spec 6.1, there, the one that we just did, there appears to be a couple other sheets that are just a

December 8, 2020 calculation of just the overall total number. I'm sure Queens can correct me if that's incorrect. But the objectors and the candidate are the same.

MR. RYAN: We're moving on to
Specification number one, Michael Earl Brown, is that correct?

MR. SAVINO: In the order of the calendar, the next one is Spec one, Community First, Michael Earl Brown, that's correct. This was actually addressed --

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: So again, right, I will renew my request to have this case dismissed as moot as we [unintelligible] [00:36:57] the prima facie calendar.

MR. RYAN: So, Commissioner Araujo, just before we get to that, if I could double check to make sure that there's no, no appearances on this.

MR. SATTIE: There is Ms. Steiner here for the objector.

MR. RYAN: Okay.
MR. SATTIE: And this is the issue so that, yeah.
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MR. RYAN: Alright. So, let's bring Ms. Steiner back into the room, just so she can be aware of the proceedings, okay.

MS. KONTZAMANIS: Ms. Steiner is in the room.

MR. RYAN: Okay. So, Ms. Steiner, just for your information, there is now a motion on the floor to dismiss this as moot, as Mr. Michael Earl Brown has already been removed from the calendar prima facie. So that's where we're at at this present juncture. And then we have, we haven't had a second yet. So we just wanted to get you in the room. So, if there's a second, we can proceed.

COMMISSIONER MICHEL: Second, Michel.
MR. RYAN: So, now there's a motion and a second. Are there any objections to the motion? Hearing none, the motion carries. Okay.

MR. SAVINO: Do we hear Ms. Steiner, now, or do we --

MR. RYAN: Commissioner Araujo, would you entertain a friendly amendment to your previous direction and allow Ms. Steiner to be
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heard now on the other matter, which is related to this?

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Is she appearing on anything else?

MR. RYAN: Ms. Steiner, do you have any other appearances before the Board today?

MS. STEINER: No, I don't.
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Alright.
MR. RYAN: Okay.
MS. STEINER: May I?
MR. RYAN: So, Mr. Savino, can you
please briefly detail what Ms. Steiner will be addressing and then we'll allow her to make her presentation.

MR. SAVINO: Certainly. Ms. Steiner's position is that since the candidate above her, which had the same name of Community First, she's given seven days to change that, which would be today, but it is her position since the petition above her of Mr. Brown, didn't pass the weight test, which also according to our rules really isn't sufficient to secure your spot on the ballot, as it has to contain all of the
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information, cover sheet and petition, that at least appears to have a number of valid signatures.

MR. RYAN: Okay, Ms. Steiner, please, please proceed.

MS. STEINER: Alright. Thank you. Mr. Brown filed a petition which was prima facie invalid and you have found it so. It had the same name, Community First, as my client, Dr. Neeta Jain's petition. We would prefer not to change our name and don't believe we ought to have to change our name because there is now no longer another candidate with the same party name who filed ahead of us. That's the gist of my argument and my request that we be able to keep the name Community First as our party name.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Alright. This is Commissioner Araujo. I'm in agreement with the request. In light of the fact that the first filing party with that name has now been taken off the calendar, then the second filed person who filed that name should being come first and have the right to have that name. So that's my
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motion, to allow their party to keep the name.
COMMISSIONER MICHEL: This is
Commissioner Michel, I second.
MR. RYAN: And just for the purposes of clarity, that would be Ms. Steiner, Soma S. Syed, correct?

MS. STEINER: No, that is incorrect. MR. RYAN: No?

MS. STEINER: That is Neeta Jain.
MR. RYAN: Neeta James?
MS. STEINER: Neeta Jain.
MR. RYAN: Okay. Can you spell that last name for me, because I'm not seeing it.

MS. STEINER: Yes. J-A-I-N.
MR. RYAN: Okay.
MR. STEINER: If you look at the list of [unintelligible] [00:41:07], you'll see her, I guess --

MR. RYAN: No, it's okay. I believe that you know who you're representing, so as long as we have the name for the record. It's N-I-T-A J-A-I-N?

MS. STEINER: NO, N-E-E-T-A.
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MR. RYAN: Okay.
MS. STEINER: -A-I-N.
MR. RYAN: Perfect, okay. So, and that will then stay with the Community First moniker? MS. STEINER: Yes.

MR. RYAN: Alright, perfect. So we have a motion --

> MS. STEINER: So --

MR. RYAN: -- and a second. And now I just need to get the full board approval to proceed in that way. Are there any objections? Hearing none, the motion carries. Ms. Steiner, your work here is done.

> MS. STEINER: Thank you so much.

MR. RYAN: Alright.
MR. SAVINO: I'm moving on to the next spec, I mean clerks' report on the calendar, 5-1, objections by Alan Sherman, petition of Howard T. Neiman, 24 th Council District, number of signatures submitted for candidate 109.

MR. RYAN: Mr. Savino, can I just have some clarification?

MR. SAVINO: Sure.
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MR. RYAN: We saw spec number six, spec number one, and spec number 19. And there was a Soma S. Syed under Michael Earl Brown.

MR. SAVINO: Correct.
MR. RYAN: What is, that should be the next matter, correct?

MR. SAVINO: Correct.
MR. RYAN: Alright.
MR. SAVINO: My apologies.
MR. RYAN: No problem.
MR. SAVINO: Alright. Oh, yeah, that's
why -- did we do 19? Yeah. Okay. Yeah, Queens 19
was dismissed on the prima facie calendar.
MR. RYAN: Alright. We still have to address it though.

MR. SAVINO: And the objector has no
standing in that he lives in Brooklyn.
MR. RYAN: Okay. So, this matter --
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: If there's a
clerks' report, I would dismiss it as moot.
MR. SAVINO: I did not receive a clerks' report. I think, as it was dismissed.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: I don't have it in

December 8, 2020 my packet either, so I don't know -- and that's why I'm just referring, that's why I say if there is one.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: I don't --
COMMISSIONER MICHEL: I don't believe the objection was sent to the Queens office to inspect.

MR. RYAN: Okay. So it was a proof of service issue.

MR. GRAVES: And standing.
MR. RYAN: And standing. So in any event, it can still be dismissed as moot upon proper motion from the commissioners, so I think that Commissioner Araujo, as long as we get a second to your motion to dismiss as moot, and we'll proceed from there.

COMMISSIONER MICHEL: Second, Michel.
MR. RYAN: Yes, thank you, Commissioner Michel. We now have a motion and a second. Are there any objections? Hearing none, the motion carries. Please proceed Mr. Savino.

MR. SAVINO: Alright, now I'll move on to Queens 5.1, objections by Alan Sherman.
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MR. RYAN: Can you also move the microphone closer to you, please? Thank you.

MR. SAVINO: Sure. There actually again appears two clerks' reports for 5.1, or three, yeah. but the one that appears to have all the totals is number of signatures submitted for candidate is 466, total number of valid signatures 351, total needed is 450. Appearance?

MR. RYAN: And that's with respect to spec number five?

MR. SAVINO: Yes.
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Is there any appearances on this one? Tommie, are there any appearances?

MR. SATTIE: Yes, sir, there are. We're going to let in Howard Graubard for the objector and Daniel Mayo and the candidate, Howard Neiman.

MS. KONTZAMANIS: They're both in the room, sirs.

MR. RYAN: Okay, Mr. Savino, can you please repate the issue with respect to specification number five?

MR. SAVINO: Certainly. Again, objection
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is by Alan Sherman, petition of Howard T. Neiman for 24 th Council District, number of signatures submitted by candidate 466, number of valid signatures, 351, number of signatures required, 450.

MR. RYAN: Okay, we're getting some background noise, can everybody please make sure their microphones are on mute. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: So, Mr. Candidate, we have you short by 99, do you have a list of exceptions where the Board made an error?

MR. DANIEL MAYO: I'm Daniel Mayo. We do not have a list of exceptions.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Okay.

MR. SAVINO: That is required under the Board rules.

MR. RYAN: Yes, as the commissioners are aware, that is a requirement under the Board rules.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Does the objector have anything to add?

MR. MAYO: Yes, the objector does have something to add. According to --
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MR. HOWARD GRAUBARD: No, I'm the objector.

MR. MAYO: Oh, I'm sorry. Sorry about that. I'm the candidate. Sorry about that, Howard.

MR. RYAN: Yeah.
MR. MAYO: My apologies.
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Does the objector want to add anything?

MR. RYAN: You're not muted. I apologize, Mr. Braubard, can you please unmute?

MR. GRAUBARD: Yeah, this is an irredeemable petition in any case. The candidate's agent here managed to submit new, two petitions at the same time for different candidates and cost himself 43 signatures just by having the same people sign both petitions. Since his other petition was totally insufficient to begin with, he shouldn't have even done that. But that and the ODs alone, neither of which are redeemable no matter what he submits would kill him in the first place. There's really nothing to do but move the court report.
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MR. RYAN: Is --
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Since there is no list of exceptions of where the Board made an error on behalf of the candidate, I would move the clerks' report.

MR. MAYO: I guess before you move, can at least the candidate --

> COMMISSIONER MICHEL: I second. MR. MAYO: -- speak?

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: We gave you an opportunity to speak. We asked you whether or not you had specific exceptions where the Board was in error.

MR. MAYO: I, I didn't get a chance to speak, because you said you want the, you basically had Howard speak first and Howard said he needs to speak first, so I didn't get a chance to speak yet.

MR. SAVINO: Is he even on this case?
MR. GRAUBARD: [unintelligible]
[00:48:09] defer to me, right?
MR. RYAN: Mr., Mr. Mayo, as a, as a matter of process, I was here as well, listening
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a few minutes ago. You were given an opportunity to speak and you were asked if, pursuant to the rules, you had a list of redeemable signatures, which is required under the, under the rules. MR. MAYO: I understand.

MR. RYAN: So now, if you would like to add to that --

> MR. MAYO: Yes, I intend to.

MR. RYAN: -- please proceed.
MR. MAYO: Thanks thank you very much. Thank you very much, commissioners. Thank you, Executive Director Ryan. Basically, I'd like to incite rule number $H-10$ on the filing of the specification. The rule $H-10$ says before filing specification with the Board, the objector must serve a copy of the specifications. In this case, it was the contact who served, not the objector. So I am saying basically these do not follow rule H-10, therefore the specification should be ruled invalid.

MR. GRAUBARD: That's about the most preposterous argument $I$ ever heard. I am the objector's agent and it was served.
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COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Can indicate where the objections, the specifications were served? And then have Rafael take a look at it and let us know.

MR. RYAN: Do we have a proof of service?

COMMISSIONER MICHEL: That's not the issue, Jose. The question is whether the objector himself has to do the mailing or whether their agent can.

MR. MAYO: Correct.
COMMISSIONER MICHEL: And I don't think that there's any issue there at all. I don't think we have to do any further inquiry. Their attorney is allowed to, or the contact person is allowed to serve the objection. It doesn't have to be the objector himself or herself.

MR. SAVINO: But it does have to be served on the candidate.

COMMISSIONER MICHEL: That's the nature of the argument.

```
MR. MAYO: That's correct.
```

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: [unintelligible]
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[00:50:13] served?
MR. RYAN: Was it served on the
candidate is the question?
MR. MAYO: Yes.
MR. RYAN: That was an internal
question. I'm sorry if that seemed like it was going out to the universe. I was asking for --

COMMISSIONER MICHEL: It's the who served rather than the receiver, who's the sender?

MR. SAVINO: Right. Which again, is repeated in Rule $H-10$.

MR. GRAUBARD: It's a novel argument.
MR. RYAN: Can I see that please?
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Mr. Savino --
MR. RYAN: Is that the proof of service?
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: --
[unintelligible] [00:50:47] alright?
MR. SAVINO: Yes.
SECRETARY UMANE: Mr. Ryan, you're not -- it's not the normal comment or argument. The argument that Mr. Mayo made was that the person who was serving had to be the objector him or
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herself. There was no question that it was served on the right person.

MR. RYAN: I just was simply --
SECRETARY UMANE: [unintelligible]
[00:51:21] sent it.
MR. RYAN: -- I simply was trying to double check that because the documents -SECRETARY UMANE: Okay.

MR. RYAN: -- would not otherwise be available to the commissioners the way they normally would be. That's all. So yes, we're confirming that it was served on the proper entity and now if we can move on with the balance of the process, that'd be great. Mr. Savino.

SECRETARY UMANE: I call the question.
MR. RYAN: He's calling the question.
Alright. Forget it.
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: I move the clerks'
report. Move the clerks' report.
MR. RYAN: Is there a second?
COMMISSIONER MICHEL: Michel.
MR. RYAN: Are there any objections?
Hearing none, the motion carries.
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MR. SAVINO: The candidate will not appear on the ballot.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: The candidate is no longer on the ballot. Is that correct?

MR. SAVINO: Correct.
MR. RYAN: Yes. On, and then what does that do with specification number eight?

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Oh, that's a different objector too.

MR. RYAN: Yes.
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: But same petition volumes.

MR. SAVINO: Alright. Going on to spec 8-1, thank you.

SECRETARY UMANE: Before you do that, could you get the people in so we don't have to go through it twice.

MR. SAVINO: Are there any appearances on 8-1?

MR. SATTIE: Yes, there are. There's John Haggerty for the objector and the same two, Daniel Mayo and Howard Neiman for the candidate.

MS. KONTZAMANIS: And they're all in the
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room.
MR. SAVINO: Alright. Objection 8-1, objections of Narya Mulokandov, petition of Howard T. Neiman, obviously for 24 th Council District, again this is one where a number of copies were submitted, let me just get to the right one. Okay. The number of signatures submitted by the candidate was 465 , total number of valid signatures was 365,450 are needed.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: So right now, the candidate is short by 85. Does the candidate have a list of exceptions where the court, where the Board made an error?

MR. NOVO: I did not submit such list, but I'd like to let the candidate himself speak. COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Okay. MR. NOVO: Howard, you want to say something? Howard, is he on mute? Howard?

MR. RYAN: Mr. Neiman, you're going to need to unmute.

MR. HOWARD L. NEIMAN: Okay. Okay. First of all, thank you, thank you everybody for letting me part of this whole process. There's
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obviously, I'm not sure that there's a way to retrieve the hundred signatures at this point. The whole process, the presence of valid signatures, it's sometimes hard to determine and this is just the way the process is, and it's been this way for a long time. On the other hand, I mean I [unintelligible] [00:54:59] to add other than the whole experience of trying to obtain signatures is an incredibly wonderful experience, reaching out to so many members of the community, which I have done before, is a way to really make contact. I actually think it's an ingenious way to, to have somebody run for office, just making all those connections and obtaining all those signatures.

You know, unfortunately the whole signature verification process is kind of a very challenging one, and it's -- the ability to actually know correctly whether or not you're getting appropriate signatures or not, how easy it is to knock people off and I guess that's just the way it's been done for a long time, and I accept it. I wish it was better, but that's the

December 8, 2020 way it is, and again, I truly appreciate everybody's enabling me to be a part of this and I look forward to the possibility of maybe another time.

COMMISSIONER TOWNSEND: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Alright, Mr.
Haggerty, do you have anything to add?
MR. NEIMAN: Excuse me?
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: No, I'm asking Mr. Haggerty, the objector does -- the candidate, the representative if he has anything to add. Thank you.

MR. HAGGERTY: We're on spec number eight now?

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Yes.
MR. HAGGERTY: 8-1?
MR. RYAN: Yes.
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Yes.
MR. HAGGERTY: So I'd ask that the clerks' report be adopted, right. Also, the acceptance was done pursuant to executive order but I do not believe the candidate was in the State of New York when this was done, so
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COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: I would move the clerks' report.

COMMISSIONER MICHEL: Move the clerks' report, Michel.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Second.
MR. MAYO: If I, after approved, I just want to basically add that Howard just became a grandfather and he's basically conferencing in from Israel.

MR. RYAN: Okay. Very nice, congratulations.

MR. NEIMAN: Thank you.
MR. RYAN: And we now have a motion and a second. Are there any objections? Hearing none, the motion carries.

MR. SAVINO: The candidate will be [unintelligible] [00:57:28]. Moving along to --

MR. RYAN: Four.
MR. SAVINO: -- 4-1, are there any
appearances?
MR. RYAN: Any appearances for spec
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four? Angelo King is the candidate.
MR. SAVINO: And the objector is Alan Sherman.

MR. SATTIE: Yes, there are. There are appearances by Howard Graubard for the objector and Angelo King, the candidate.

MR. SAVINO: Alright. In the matter of objection by Alan Sherman to the petition of Angelo King for City Council --

MS. KONTZAMANIS: Call in user four, can you identify yourself, please.

MR. ANGELO KING: Angelo King.
MR. MAYO: Daniel Mayo as well, is here.
MR. RYAN: Okay.
MS. KONTZAMANIS: There's one more, Mr. Ryan, just one second.

MR. RYAN: Yeah. Mr. Mayo.
MR. SATTIE: Daniel Mayo has also been admitted for the candidate.

MR. RYAN: So it'll be Mr. Mayo and Mr. King who is the candidate, and then the objector is Mr. Graubard and just reminding all participants to please keep your microphones on

December 8, 2020 mute until recognized. Please proceed, Mr. Savino.

MR. SAVINO: Certainly. In the matter of objections by Alan Sherman, petition of Angelo King, City Council 24 th District, number of signatures submitted 426, number of signatures --

MS. KONTZAMANIS: Mr. Savino needs to move closer to the mic. Mr. Ryan. He's difficult to hear.

MR. SAVINO: In the matter, objections by Alan Sherman, petition --

MR. RYAN: Can you switch microphones with him?

> MR. SAVINO: -- of Angelo King.

MR. RYAN: Please put it close to your mouth.

MR. SAVINO: Okay. Objections by Alan Sherman, petition of Angelo King, number of signatures submitted by candidate 426 , total valid signatures 271, number of signatures required 450. Appearances?

MR. GRAUBARD: Howard Graubard for objector.
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MR. RYAN: And Mr. Mayo.
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Does any, does the candidate wish to offer an exception, a list of exceptions? You're short 179 , or errors that the Board made?

MR. RYAN: Mr. Mayo, do you have anything to offer? Mr. Mayo seems to have left. But I see --

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Is the candidate here?

MR. RYAN: I see Mr. King is still
there.
MR. KING: Yeah, Mayo is the one that's going to be talking in favor of me.

MR. RYAN: Okay.
MR. KING: I don't know what happened.
MR. RYAN: Do we know what happened to
him?
MR. KING: I don't know.
MR. RYAN: Okay. I take it you guys aren't together then, alright.

MR. KING: I, I, yeah, uh, well, I was on before, and while $I$ was on hold, you guys
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knocked me off.
MR. RYAN: Okay, so, so just give us a second. We'll see if we can locate Mr. Mayo, okay.

MR. KING: Okay, no problem.
MR. RYAN: Okay. Ms. Kontzamanis, do we have an idea of what happened to Mr. Mayo?

MS. KONTZAMANIS: We're trying to locate him sir, just one second. MR. RYAN: Got you, okay. COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Rafael? MR. SAVINO: Yes, commissioner? COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: I see that -MS. KONTZAMANIS: He's in the room now, sir. Mr. Mayo, can you hear us? Mr. Mayo? Mr. Mayo, can you hear us, sir? Mr. Mayo, sir, can you hear us? Is he muted by any chance?

COMMISSIONER MICHEL: Yeah, I was going to say, it's like the mic's not on.

MR. RYAN: Do we have, just double check that we don't have him muted please.

MS. KONTZAMANIS: No, he's not muted on our end, sir.
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MR. RYAN: Okay. Thank you.
MS. KONTZAMANIS: Mr. Mayo, if you can hear me, maybe you should try calling back in, sir.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Do you want to second call this one and we can go on to spec number three?

MR. RYAN: Yeah, I think we're going to have to do that. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: I also not that spec number $7-1$ also has Mr. King as the candidate. Is Mr. Mayo also representing him on that one?

MR. KING: Yes.
MR. SATTIE: Yes, he is, sir.
MR. KING: He's representing me.
MR. RYAN: Okay. So then if you could
please return Mr. King and Mr. Mayo to the waiting room, hopefully, we'll be able to work that out and then we can move on to specification number 13 for now. We'll hold off on specifications number four and number seven.

MR. SAVINO: Alright. On specification
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13, there wasn't, there was not a clerks' report, but the proof of service was attached to the original specification, rule $H-12$, and proof of service incorrect admitted objection number, again H-12. This was already dismissed on prima facie.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: To be consistent with what we did before, I would move to dismiss this one as moot.

COMMISSIONER MICHEL: Second.
PRESIDENT TAYLOR: It was done as a prima facie, correct?

COMMISSIONER TOWNSEND: Mm-hmm.
MR. RYAN: There is a motion to dismiss this as moot. I'm sorry, Commissioner Taylor, did you say something? Did I miss something?

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Yeah, to say, to answer her question, the answer is yes, Commissioner Taylor. We're just being consistent since we did it with the other one before.

MR. RYAN: Yes. Is there a second?
COMMISSIONER MICHEL: Second.
MR. RYAN: Any objections? Hearing none,
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specification number 13 is dismissed as moot.
MR. SAVINO: Queens three, there was also no clerks' report. The notations from CRU say one proof of service copy shipped to Phil Grillo.

MR. RYAN: Mr. Savino, you have to talk into the microphone.

MR. SAVINO: I apologize, I was trying to read from --

MR. RYAN: Right into the microphone.
MR. SAVINO: -- the notes from CRU say one proof of service copy shipped to Phil Grillop, one proof of service copy shipped to Leo Jacobs, the substituted candidate.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Mr. Savino, I do have a clerks' report for spec 3-1. Is that the same one?

MR. RYAN: No, this is spec 13.
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: [unintelligible]
[01:04:32] marked it --
MR. RYAN: No, I'm sorry, 3-1.
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: --
[unintelligible] [01:04:35].
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MR. RYAN: We moved on, yep, you're right.

MR. SAVINO: Because we skipped along. There is also a spec, that's correct. Would you like to address that now? I'll address it while CRU looks for the proof of service.

MR. RYAN: Mr. Savino, you have to talk into the microphone.

MR. SAVINO: It's not that sensitive? I thought it was more sensitive. Alright, spec 3-1, objections by Alan Sherman to the petition of Phyllis -- Philip S. Grillo, City Council member $24 t h$ District, number of signatures submitted, 1,314, number of signatures required, I'm sorry, total valid number of signatures 302, and number of signatures required is 450.

MR. SATTIE: There is an appearance by the objector, Howard Graubard.

MR. RYAN: And no appearances for the candidate?

MR. SATTIE: That's correct.

MR. RYAN: Okay.
MS. KONTZAMANIS: Mr. Graubard is in the

December 8, 2020 room, sir.

MR. GRAUBARD: Hi. The only thing I have to say about this is even if the candidate had submitted a complete list of specifications of what the Board did wrong, he'd still have only 426 signatures.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: I'm sorry. I'm looking at spec number $3-1$, right. I have here the number of valid signatures 302. Am I looking at something wrong?

MR. SAVINO: He's referring to the prior case.

MR. GRAUBARD: Oh. I'm sorry.
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: We're not on that one. We're just dealing with 3-1.

MR. GRAUBARD: 3-1, I'm sorry. I got cut off, so I didn't hear everything. I had, it -this is the objection against --

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Philip Grillo. Right now, he's short, he needed 450, has 302, he's short by 148.

MR. GRAUBARD: Well, other than stating that, that noting that $I$ stand by the Board
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clerks' report and ask that it be adopted, I should note that Mr. Grillo has declined and substituted and that in the event you invalidate this petition, it will take off candidate Leo Jacobs, who was substituted on the ballot.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Well, I would move that we adopt the clerks' report.

COMMISSIONER MICHEL: Second, Michel.

MR. RYAN: Okay, we have a motion and a second. Are there any objections? Hearing none, the motion carries, the candidate is off the ballot, and Mr. Graubard, can you just please tell me what the quote unquote new candidate's name was again?

MR. GRAUBARD: Leo, Leo Jacobs.
MR. RYAN: Okay. Thank you very much. Are there any objections? Hearing none, the motion carries. That would then bring us back to specification number four, and then ultimately number seven, involving Candidate Angelo King and Mr. Mayo. I believe Mr. Graubard is also listed for the objector, at least with respect to specification number four, involving Alan
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Sherman, and then there is another objector Narya Mulokandov. Mr. Graubard, did we move him out? Oh, there he is, he moved up into the corner. MR. GRAUBARD: No, I'm here. MR. RYAN: Are you also for the other objector on specification number seven as well? MR. GRAUBARD: No, I would assume that that's Mr. Haggerty.

MR. RYAN: Alright, no problem. So we'll proceed then with specification number four. I see that Mr. Mayo is back in the room. Mr. King was in the room earlier. Is he available to be taken from the waiting room?

MR. MAYO: Mr. King called me and says he won't be joining us.

MR. RYAN: Okay. Perfect. So, Mr. Savino, can you proceed with specification number four as Mr. Mayo is now audible.

MR. SAVINO: Objections by Alan Sherman, petition of Angelo King, number of signatures submitted 426, number of valid signatures, 271, number of signatures required, 450. COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: This is
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Commissioner Araujo. Just before, before we hear from the candidates, $I$ just want to note that the number of signatures submitted is actually 426, which is under the 450 threshold. Does the representative of the candidate want to address?

MR. MAYO: Sure. Sir, I was going to invoke the previous rule $H-10$, but $I$ guess as Commissioner Umane correctly pointed out, it's certainly my argument, but it's one apparently commissioner disagreed with, so, I guess I'll go for move the clerks' report.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Does the objector have anything?

MR. GRAUBARD: Thank you so much, Mr. Mayo. 426 is under 450. There's not much that needs to be said, except for me to advise Mr. Mayo that in the future, if he's going to run two candidates and one is under the number, he shouldn't submit both petitions and then let one candidate get sacked because of the other one who's insufficient to begin with.

MR. MAYO: As long as you don't challenge me, I will.
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MR. RYAN: Okay.
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Putting that to the side, this is Commissioner Araujo, I move the clerks' report.

COMMISSIONER MICHEL: Second, this is Michel.

MR. RYAN: Are there any objections? Hearing none, the motion carries. Candidate is off the ballot. Specification number seven, also involves Angelo King, please have Mr. Mayo remain in the hearing. And are there any appearances for the objector.

MR. SATTIE: Yes, sir, there is. We're going to let John Haggerty into the room.

MR. RYAN: Alright. Thank you very much.
MR. MAYO: I do have a different
argument in this case.
MR. RYAN: Alright. We'll get to that Mr. Mayo.

MR. SAVINO: Alright. Objections, again, this is 7.1 of --

MR. RYAN: Mr. Haggerty is present in the room.
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MR. HAGGERTY: Yes.
MR. RYAN: Mr. Savino, please proceed.
MR. SAVINO: Objections by Narya
Mulokandov.
MR. RYAN: Mulokandov.
MR. SAVINO: Mulokandov, to the petition of Angelo King, number of signatures submitted for candidate 425, total number of signatures required 450. I don't think they address the signatures individually because it was below the 450.

MR. RYAN: Mr. --
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Mr. Mayo, do you
want to address the fact that you submitted a petition -- a petition was submitted with 425 when the statute requires 450?

MR. MAYO: Certainly. I'd like to invoke rule $H-10$ again, but on a different reason. It's supposed to be served on the candidate, it did not. It went to the wrong address. You wrote the wrong address on there. If you check the certified mail at USPS, it was never received, and he wrote down the wrong address, so it wasn't
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served upon the candidate. So this should be ruled invalid service.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Can CRU hand the proof of service to Mr. Savino and Mr. Ryan? And then while that's happening, Mr. Haggerty, do you want to add something?

MR. HAGGERTY: I move the clerks' report. Mr. Mayo has a long history of filing petitions with below the required number of signatures, which in my opinion perpetrates a fraud upon the Board, and certainly makes the Board do all this work and costs the taxpayers money. This is at least the fourth or fifth petition he's done this on, right. And these proofs of service show that it was showed upon the candidate at the address that I believe was on the petition.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Alright. Thank you.

MR. SAVINO: The proofs of service does have the candidate's name on it.

MR. RYAN: Okay, you got to talk into the microphone when you're addressing.
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MR. SAVINO: Okay. The proof of service does have the candidate's name on it, at least the one I'm looking at right now from CRU.

MR. RYAN: So it comports to our rules? MR. SAVINO: Yes. I apologize. COMMISSIONER TOWNSEND: Yes. So let's move --

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: I move the clerks' report.

MR. MAYO: Oh, he has the name. The address is wrong. He wrote down 6510, it should be 6910 164th Street, Apartment 5G, he wrote down 56. So the wrong street number and the wrong apartment number.

MS. SANDOW: That's the correct address. We have the correct address.

MR. GRAVES: That it was mailed to.
MR. SAVINO: That's the correct address that it was mailed to, Mr. Mayo.

MR. RYAN: So, to be clear, what does -can I see the proof of service please, so that we can make the record correctly. The proof of service that the Board of Elections is in
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possession of with the USPS stamp of $11 / 30$
indicates an address of 69-10, 164th Street, Apartment 56, which matches the Board's record. So according to the record submitted to the Board, it was mailed to the proper address. That having been said, Mr. Mayo, do you have anything else that you'd like to add to the proceedings.

MR. MAYO: The correct address should be 5G and USPS certified mail when we checked, it says is it --

MR. RYAN: Alright. So --
MR. MAYO: -- it is now in the post office not deliverable.

MR. RYAN: Well, so is it -- I may have misread it. It's 5G, it looked like a six to me, but it's 5G then?

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: I would move the clerks' report in this matter, please.

COMMISSIONER MICHEL: Second, Michel.
MS. SANDOW: All in favor.
MR. RYAN: Any objections? Hearing none, the motion carries.

MR. SAVINO: The candidate is off the
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ballot.
MR. MAYO: Thank you very much, commissioners.

MR. RYAN: And that concludes the hearings, correct?

MR. SAVINO: Yes, that is correct.
MR. RYAN: That concludes our hearings under Item number one on the agenda. At his juncture, commissioners, $I$ would like to ask to set, at least announce what the next hearing date, commissioners meeting date $I$ should say, is going to be. And then after that, a motion for personnel to go into executive session for personnel and litigation.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Mr. Ryan?
SECRETARY UMANE: I move that we have our next meeting at 1:30 next Tuesday.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: I believe it's a requirement that we adjourn the hearing, and then reconvene the commissioners' weekly stated meeting, if $I^{\prime} m$ not mistaken.

MR. RYAN: Yes. We -- that's correct.
SECRETARY UMANE: I move that we, I move

December 8, 2020 that we conclude the hearings.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Second it. COMMISSIONER MICHEL: Second. PRESIDENT TAYLOR: And reconvene commissioners' weekly meeting. Go ahead, Mr. Ryan.

MR. RYAN: Right, so any objections? Hearing none, the hearings are adjourned and the weekly meeting is reconvened. That said, if we could determine what the next weekly meeting date will be and then make a motion to go into executive session for personnel and litigation. SECRETARY UMANE: I move that we have the next meeting on December 15th at 1:30 and -COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: I'll second, this is Commissioner Araujo, I'll second that, provided me that you allow me an opportunity to thank the Queens staff for their work in reviewing all these specifications during this pandemic. Your hard work is appreciated by myself, Commissioner Michel and the rest of the commissioners at the Board of Elections. Thank you.
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PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Absolutely.
COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: And I seconded that, by the way.

MR. RYAN: Yes, we have a motion --
PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Any objections? Oh, go ahead.

MR. RYAN: -- we have a motion and a second. If there are no objections, the next weekly meeting of the Board of Commissioners will be December 15, 2020. And now separately a motion to adjourn into executive session to deal with some personnel and litigation matters.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: So moved.
SECRETARY UMANE: So moved. Second.
MR. RYAN: Any objections? Hearing none, the motion carries. This meeting is adjourned for executive session. If there are any announcements, $I$ will return to make same.
[OFF THE RECORD]
[ON THE RECORD]
MR. RYAN: There are no matters to report from executive session and the next meeting of the Board of Commissioners is December
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