BOARD OF ELECTIONS IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

COMMISSIONERS' MEETING

42 Broadway - 6th floor

Commissioners' Hearing Room

New York, NY 10004

May 5, 2020

1:35 p.m. - 2:35 p.m.

PRESENT:

President Patricia Anne Taylor
Secretary Frederic Umane
Commissioner Jose Araujo
Commissioner Miguelina Camilo
Commissioner Gino Marmorato
Commissioner Michael Michel
Commissioner Simon Shamoun
Commissioner Tiffany Townsend
Commissioner John Wm. Zaccone

Michael J. Ryan, Executive Director
Dawn Sandow, Deputy Executive Director
Kenneth Moltner, Counsel to Commissioners
Steven Denkberg, Counsel to Commissioners
Georgea Kontzamanis, Operations Manager
Pamela Perkins, Administrative Manager
Steven Richman, General Counsel
Rafael Savino, Deputy General Counsel
David Fuchs, Legal Clerk
Matthew Graves, CRU
Sherwin Suss, Chief Contracting Attorney

1	May 5, 2020
2	(The meeting commenced at 1:35 p.m.)
3	MR. MICHAEL J. RYAN: We are now live
4	with the live stream on both video and sound. And
5	I would like to go through the roll call of
6	attendance of commissioners and then I'll
7	announce the staff members that are also
8	participating in the meetings and then after that
9	we'll move into the hearings. President Taylor?
10	PRESIDENT PATRICIA ANNE TAYLOR: I am
11	here.
12	MR. RYAN: Secretary Umane?
13	SECRETARY FREDERIC UMANE: Here.
14	MR. RYAN: Commissioner Araujo?
15	COMMISSIONER JOSE ARAUJO: Present.
16	MR. RYAN: Commissioner Camilo?
17	COMMISSIONER MIGUELINA CAMILO: Present.
18	MR. RYAN: Commissioner Marmorato?
19	COMMISSIONER MARMORATO: Good afternoon,
20	present.
21	MR. RYAN: Commissioner Michel?
22	COMMISSIONER MICHAEL MICHEL: Present.
23	MR. RYAN: Commissioner Shamoun?
24	COMMISSIONER SIMON SHAMOUN: Present.

1

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. RYAN: Commissioner Townsend?

COMMISSIONER TIFFANY TOWNSEND: Present.

MR. RYAN: And Commissioner Zaccone?

COMMISSIONER JOHN WM. ZACCONE: Present.

MR. RYAN: I will note the presence, participation I should say of both Mr. Steven Denkberg and Kenneth Moltner, who are commissioners' counsel. I am Michael Ryan, I'm the executive director. Seat to my left is Dawn Sandow, the deputy executive director, and participating remotvely but in the building are both Georgia Kontazmanis, the operations manager and Pamela Perkins, the administrative manager. Seated at the table in front of me are Steven Richman, general counsel, deputy general counsel Rafael Savino, and David Fuchs from the legal department. Also participating in the hearings, or present I should say, to assist in the hearings will be Matthew Graves from CRU and later on the agenda proper for item number three, will also be Mr. Sherwin Suss. That --

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: This is Commissioner Araujo. Can I have the discussion

2.3

regarding the ballots put on the agenda?

MR. RYAN: Sure.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Thank you.

MR. RYAN: And then, are there items for -- there should be some items for executive session I would imagine or not legal related but? Okay. Ballots. Alright. Okay. Now, the first item on the hearing agenda is CRU prima facie, there is one item and no appearances, the cut off was 3:00 p.m. yesterday and it's Working Families Party delegate to the Judicial Convention from the 57th Assembly District and the names are Bernette Carway-Spruiell, Eric Spruiell, Joe Dinkin, William Lipton, Pete Naji, and Scott Somer, legal please proceed.

MR. RICHMAN: Commissioners, the party call specifies that in the 57th AD, the Working Families Party shall set, nominate five delegates to the Judicial Convention. The petition attached contains six. Accordingly, it's on over designation under the Election Law, Section 6-143, Subdivision 3. And accordingly, all the candidates should be stricken from the ballot.

	Page (
1	May 5, 2020
2	That's the recommendation of CRU.
3	MR. RYAN: Is there a motion?
4	COMMISSIONER MICHEL: I make a motion
5	SECRETARY UMANE: Move the CRU report.
6	COMMISSIONER MICHEL: Second.
7	MR. RYAN: There's a motion and a
8	second. Are there any objections? Hearing none
9	SECRETARY UMANE: No objection.
10	MR. RYAN: the motion carries.
11	MR. RICHMAN: The candidate will not
12	appear on the primary ballot.
13	MS. SANDOW: Candidates.
14	MR. RYAN: Candidates, yeah.
15	MR. RICHMAN: Candidates.
16	MR. RYAN: Multiple, yeah.
17	MR. RICHMAN: There's six.
18	MR. RYAN: Alright. So that moves us,
19	that concludes the CRU prima facie calendar and
20	we will move then to the legal prima facie
21	report. There are only three matters on the legal
22	prima facie and no appearances as of the deadline
23	of 3:00 p.m. yesterday. Item number one is
24	Working Families Party candidate Marie Laverne

2.3

from the delegate to the Judicial Convention 49th Assembly District, candidate does not reside in the district. And then there are two others, Liza Moreta and Jose Fleet, both democratic candidates, female and male district leader respectively for the 78th Assembly District and both of these are Armwood v. McCloy issues, Mr. Richman.

MR. RICHMAN: Commissioners, for the first matter, for Ms. Laverne, the applicable case law, you have a copy of the case included in your packet, which is the matter of Corbin v. Goldstein and the Working Families Party rules, which you have a copy of, requires that candidates for delegates to, or alternate delegate to the Judicial Convention reside in the judicial district. As you can see from the petition, Ms. Laverne is running in Kings County, the 2nd judicial district, resides in Queens County, the 11th judicial district, so it's a prima facie defect and the recommendation would be to remove her from the ballot.

With respect to Ms. Moreta and Mr.

1 May 5, 2020 2 Fleet, a petition volume was filed, Bronx 117, for which consisted of 35 pages, and no cover 3 4 sheet was filed for either candidate. It was only 5 filed for the assembly candidate on that petition. That candidate is on the ballot. 6 7 Therefore, under Armwood v. McCloy, both Ms. Moreta and Mr. Fleet should be stricken from the 8 9 ballot. 10 SECRETARY UMANE: So moved. 11 PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Second. MR. RYAN: Any objections? Hearing none, 12 the motion carries. 13 14 MR. RICHMAN: The legal prima facie 15 report is adopted. Those three candidates will 16 not appear on the ballot and that concludes the 17 hearings, Mr. Ryan. 18 MR. RYAN: As Mr. Richman indicated the 19 hearings are now concluded. We will move on to item two A, which is the approval of the notice 20 21 of candidates for the June 23, 2020 primary 22 election and I believe Mr. Richman has an 2.3 application regarding an edit.

MR. RICHMAN: Yeah. Commissioners, in

24

1

2 the draft notice prepared by CRU, on the last page of the text, under the heading of absentee 3 military, special, federal and affidavit ballots, 4 5 at the end of the first sentence when they talked about the type of ballots they omitted the word 6 7 federal. So it should be observe the canvas of 8 the votes cast on any and all ballot absentee, 9 military, special, adding the word federal, and 10 affidavit ballots, period. And the next sentence 11 should be deleted. The only time there's a 12 different time frame for military ballots is for the general election. In a general election or a 13 14 special election, the military ballots can be 15 received up to 13 days. CRU just made the correct 16 change for the primary, but it's really not needed, since everybody's under the same rule, 17 18 which is we have to receive the, any of these 19 paper ballots no later than seven days after the 20 date of the election. So with that editorial 21 changes, we would recommend all the other dates 22 are appropriate based on staff recommendation, 2.3 discussion with the respective chief clerks and 24 deputy chief clerks as to the location for the

1 May 5, 2020 2 activities and the appropriate timeframes. So with the exception of that edits in the absentee, 3 4 military, special, federal and affidavit ballot section, we'd recommend the notice to be adopted 5 6 with that change. 7 MR. RYAN: Is there a motion? COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: About the notice? 8 9 As amended. 10 COMMISSIONER ZACCONE: Second, John 11 Zaccone. 12 MR. RYAN: Are there any objections? 13 Hearing none, the motion carries. 14 MR. RICHMAN: Thank you, commissioners. 15 Staff will begin getting them into the mail 16 either today or tomorrow at the latest. The first 17 activity is next, the end of next week with the 18 audio inspection of the -- of the inspection of 19 the audio ballots, so we'll have enough time that 20 everybody should receive it at least five days in 21 advance. 22 MR. RYAN: Okay. Item number three is a 2.3 report from the finance committee. Commissioner

Umane, typically you have taken a lead on the

24

2.3

committee report. Do you have the documentation in front of you, or would you care for me to --

SECRETARY UMANE: No, I have it.

MR. RYAN: Okay.

SECRETARY UMANE: I have it. The committee, after some significant questioning and due diligence on two potential contracts, the first having to do, the company is called United Rentals, and they're the people who provide the temporary generators for both early voting and election day. United Rental is a DCAS vendor who provides generators for our early voting in October of 2019 and the few days of the March Queens special election.

There was a competitive sealed bid and the vendors were requested to price out cost for 125 early voting sites and 35 sites for election day. The contract is through December 31, 2023.

And they had also included the April election that was not held and postponed. The contract also has a variable, which is the fuel cost published by DCAS in the week preceding the early voting period, plus a fixed markup of 18 percent,

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

as stated in the bid sheet.

The contract is a not to exceed cost is \$11,489,588. The expectation is, is that we're not using 125 sites, maybe one-third less, maybe 81 or so and we may not need 35 for each of the election days over the course of those elections, so the theoretical cost would be about \$2 million a year, which is quite a bit less. But as we usually do, we approve something on the high side to cover us for eventual new elections or other sorts of issues.

So, it was unanimous approval for the United Rentals contract in the finance committee. And I would, we don't need a motion and a second, because it's a committee recommendation. So it just requires a vote. Madam President.

> PRESIDENT TAYLOR: All those in favor? SECRETARY UMANE: Aye.

COMMISSIONER CAMILO: Aye.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Aye.

COMMISSIONER ZACCONE: Aye.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Any opposition?

Hearing none, the vote is accepted. Thank you,

2.3

finance committee.

that we reviewed was for Dreamland Security and this is a contract that provides security for the closed times for early voting. So once the site is closed, we have our equipment out in the field and these are for unarmed guards to be on site for the period of time when there is no voting going on. And theoretically, the office, or wherever we're located is locked up. This is a New York State Office of General Services contract. The bidders were requested to provide an hourly rate to provide guard services for up to 100 sites.

There were four responsive bids and we've gone with Dreamland Security, the lowest bidder, at an hourly rate of \$27,078 per guard per hour and the contract runs from April 1, 2020 through December 31, 2022, encompassing seven election events. I think that's minus the one in April, but it's still included in the contract. Again, it's only what we use. And the math on it, I could lay it out, but it's relatively simple.

2.3

The total cost, on a not to exceed contract is \$2,780,788 and we're only going to pay for the number of hours used and the number of early voting sites that are being used during the particular election.

I asked and was told that it is not a requirement that there be a either policeman or a public officer. They're only required for the period of time during the voting. And I was also advised that New York City is the only place that, where we provide guards for the equipment when it's not being used for the election. I still think we're being prudent and we're doing the right thing. And the committee agreed and unanimously recommends this also to the commissioners.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Not needing a motion.

SECRETARY UMANE: We need to take a vote. Again, it's no motion because it's a committee report.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: All those in favor?

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Aye.

COMMISSIONER CAMILO: Aye.

1 May 5, 2020 2 PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Are there any objections? Hearing none, the report is accepted. 3 4 Thank you, finance committee. Mr. Suss. 5 MR. WILLIAM SUSS: Thank you, commissioners. 6 7 MR. RYAN: Thank you, Mr. Suss. At the request of Commissioner Araujo, there was fourth 8 9 item added to the agenda, a discussion on ballots. Commissioner Arajuo, would you like to 10 11 take this issue, please? 12 COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Sure. Is Tom 13 Sattie there as well? 14 MR. RYAN: He is not, but I can get him 15 if you, if he's needed to be here. 16 COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Yeah, I -- they 17 circulated a copy of the sample ballots for the 18 upcoming June election. I just wanted him to 19 discuss, or if anyone present there can tell us the font size of the ballot, just so it's on the 20 21 record and that it's the largest size that we 22 have. 2.3 MS. SANDOW: It's 12.

COMMISSIONER MICHEL: I, commissioner,

24

2.3

it was, he said it was 12 I believe all, 12 points across the five boroughs.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Okay. So a simple ballot would --

MS. SANDOW: It's 12, yes, commissioner it's 12 font.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Okay. Great, thank you. A sample ballot has been provided to each commissioner for each county for their review and purview. I looked over the Queens and the other counties. We had an informal discussion with the ballot committee prior to this meeting, and it's the recommendation that we accept the ballot format as laid out.

MR. RYAN: Mr., Mr. Sattie is now here in case there are any other questions.

COMMISSIONER TOWNSEND: I have another question. So my question is actually just, forgive my ignorance on this. So how are we handling, aside from fonts and format and that sort of thing, any issues around candidates who may be, who may have pending litigation about their candidacy and appearing on the ballot? Just

2.3

given the timing I think of how things are sort of working out in this sort of COVID environment if that makes sense.

MR. SATTIE: Absentees, especially when it comes to federal and military ballots are always handled as an as is-situation, where since there's a requirement that they have to be out by this coming Friday, they're going to be going out as they stand now. There are I know at least a couple of cases that are still pending in Appellate Court I believe and those --

MR. RICHMAN: And Supreme.

MR. SATTIE: -- and Supreme. So, so those federal and military ballots will be going out as they currently stand. And if there's to be a change to the ballot, we would make it --

MR. RICHMAN: [unintelligible]
[00:21:59]. Again, when we went to ballot on
demand, we were implementing changes as a court
order came in, and with anything going out in the
future. But the statute specifically provides
that for absentee, military, special and federal
ballots, at the date that you made the

2.3

determination last Tuesday that this is the certified ballot, that remains.

COMMISSIONER TOWNSEND: Mm-hmm.

MR. RICHMAN: If a candidate is removed from the ballot, any votes cast for that candidate are disregarded, and if a candidate is added, that candidate doesn't have the opportunity to have his name on the ballot, if somebody writes it in, it'll count that way.

For the election day ballots, we have to do our best efforts to ensure that they meet the court requirements. But I believe testing is scheduled for next week to begin.

MR. SATTIE: The following week.

MR. RICHMAN: Oh, the following week.

MR. SATTIE: Beginning May 18th.

MR. RICHMAN: The week of the 18th, so we have the Appellate Division scheduled for next week for the First Department. The Second Department's hearing cases right now that have been settled for Richman County and Kings County tomorrow. So again, hopefully, they'll get them completed and try to implement them, but even a

2.3

last minute change, the Court of Appeals doesn't meet until the 19th of May to hear the arguments, so again, if there's a last minute change, we will try the best to accommodate them. But given the fact that early voting now took away 11 days of the calendar ahead of that, we're going to be cutting it very close now, given the judicial calendar as well.

COMMISSIONER TOWNSEND: So there is an environment where we have candidates who may very well not actually end up on the ballot but they will actually appear on the ballot just based on the way that the dates that the court cases are lining up?

MR. RICHMAN: For absentee, correct.

That's definitely. We hope that the courts will move expeditiously, so that we will be able to at least complete the Appellate Division review before we actually begin printing and then testing the election day and early voting ballots. And then the Court of Appeals is a week, two weeks from tomorrow and we'll see if they'll entertain any appeals. In the past, unless it's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

an extraordinary issue, they have generally declined to grant leave to appeal.

COMMISSIONER TOWNSEND: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER MICHEL: It's not an

uncommon situation, though. This does --

MR. RYAN: And, yes, Commissioner Townsend, these kinds of things happen regularly throughout the elections process and we've even had scenarios where the litigation scheduled has taken the process beyond a point where the court could craft a useable remedy for a candidate and basically, we submit an affidavit to the court, under those circumstances, with the approval of the commissioners indicating that this is too late, it's beyond the point where we could get the candidate back on the ballot and still conduct the election. So you have on, closer to the beginning of the process, you have the overseas and military issues that we have to content with because of the 45 day deadline. And then as the process stretches out, closer to election day we do confront similar issues, although not identical.

22

2.3

24

1 2 COMMISSIONER TOWNSEND: Okay. Thank you. 3 COMMISSIONER SHAMOUN: I have a 4 question, Commissioner Shamoun, about ballots. I think in the past, I think we separated the 5 offices with the contests either with a 6 7 separation or a gap or a double line. I'm looking at the 64th and the, I guess CD11 ballots for 8 9 reference. Is there any way we can do that? Or is there a limitation on it? 10 11 MR. SATTIE: Those separators I think 12 you're speaking of that are the thicker, bolder 13 lines are separations generally between public 14 office contest and party position contests. So if 15 those, I believe if that's the 64th, it would 16 just be the public office contest, which is the 17 reason why there's a thicker line at the top and 18 just a normal separator between the Congressional 19 contest and the Assembly contest. 20 COMMISSIONER MICHEL: Excuse me, Tom. 21

Didn't we discuss that, we would bold each race separately?

MR. SATTIE: There are bolded. I think he was talking --

1 May 5, 2020 2 COMMISSIONER MICHEL: Would he have that? 3 4 MR. SATTIE: -- about a thicker -- yeah. COMMISSIONER MICHEL: Does he have that 5 6 one? 7 MR. SATTIE: Yeah. 8 COMMISSIONER MICHEL: Oh, okay. 9 COMMISSIONER SHAMOUN: Yeah, I'm talking 10 about there's two, there's two races in one 11 column. And basically it goes Congress, 12 candidate, candidate, write-in and then it moves 13 into Assembly, the next race. But there's no, 14 nothing that really makes it seem like they're 15 two different contests unless you read the next 16 spot. It's a minor point, but if we don't do 17 that, then that's fine. 18 MR. SATTIE: The change that we spoke 19 about prior was to actually unbold the candidate, 20 the candidate boxes to allow those office boxes 21 to pop a little bit more and stand out. So if

that's, if that's what you're referring to, and

I'm sorry, I don't have the ballot that you're

referring to in front of me. But that is the

22

2.3

24

2.3

slight change that we made to make, to make that stand out a little bit more. Separate, make the separation between the contest stand out a little bit more.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Commissioner

Shamoun, this is Jose Araujo. That discussion was had because the Queens ballot seemed very convoluted and confusing and it was hard to [unintelligible] [00:27:41]. So that's the compromise that we came up with after, after inquiring. So hopefully, that will [unintelligible] [00:27:50] and alleviate the concern that you have once you actually see the hard copy. Commissioner Michel was there, so he was looking at the hard copy and he said it looked a lot better than it does on the [unintelligible] [00:28:00].

COMMISSIONER SHAMOUN: Oh, so that the candidates won't be bolded, but the con-

COMMISSIONER MICHEL: The contest, each contest would be bolded, the candidates will not be bolded, so it does look [unintelligible]
[00:28:09].

1	May 5, 2020
2	COMMISSIONER SHAMOUN: That's exactly
3	what I'm talking about. Okay, great. Thank you.
4	MR. SATTIE: I apologize, Commissioner,
5	Shamoun. I'm glad that
6	COMMISSIONER SHAMOUN: Yeah, the sample
7	I got has everything bolded.
8	MR. SATTIE: Got you.
9	COMMISSIONER SHAMOUN: Alright.
10	COMMISSIONER MICHEL: It's only going to
11	be the races bolded. The candidates will be
12	unbolded.
13	COMMISSIONER SHAMOUN: Alright. Thank
14	you.
15	MR. RICHMAN: The public office or
16	public position title, okay.
17	COMMISSIONER SHAMOUN: Perfect, thank
18	you.
19	COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: So I mean I would
20	ask that the motion be made to adopt the
21	recommendation of the ballot committee with
22	respect to this issue.
23	COMMISSIONER MICHEL: I second it.
24	PRESIDENT TAYLOR: All those in favor?

2.3

2 SECRETARY UMANE: Aye.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Aye.

COMMISSIONER MARMORATO: Aye.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Any objections?

Hearing none, the motion carries. Thank you.

MR. SATTIE: Thank you very much, commissioners.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: I had a second point with respect to having a discussion with the full board with respect to the amount of ballots we're going to order in light of these executive orders, in light of the early voting and what's the timeframe for that.

MR. SATTIE: The, again, we begin, we intent to begin building the ballots during next week and would want to order the ballots by the end of next week. So if that's a, you know, if -- I would like to have a conversation about that, yeah, if possible to put it by next week, next commissioners' meeting next Tuesday, to make a determination on that.

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Are there any pending discussions with the state board with

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

respect to the ballot itself or polling sites that may affect the number of ballots that we're going to be ordering and/or printing?

MR. RYAN: So, as of right this minute, we have to follow the law. We're not aware of any planned, further executive orders or any planned further guidance from the State Board of Elections. But I would say that like all things elections for the last two months, what we think of in a moment could change in the following moment. So these issues have begun to be addressed over the past several weeks. And I did circulate an e-mail to the commissioners earlier regarding conversations that we've had with the State Board of Elections, seeking guidance on how we conduct our business of the agency in the face of all of the restrictions for COVID-19. The reason that I bring that up is all of it is interrelated, right. How many poll sites we're going to be opening up will then dictate how many staffers we need to prepare for those poll sites.

So we've been trying to extract information from the appropriate authorities up

2.3

and down the chain. And right now, we haven't received any guidance further than we have an election on June 23rd, we have to put on the election, we have nine days of early voting. You know, to some extent, the early voting sites are within the control of ultimately the Board of Commissioners, but then the election day sites, you know, are what they are and the equipment provisions are fairly well detained in New York State Election Law.

So that's what we're up against right this minute. But I also think that given the way that things have transpired it is certainly quite possible that we might get guidance that changes our course.

MR. RICHMAN: Pending litigation.

MR. RYAN: Right, and then we -- right and as well, Mr. Richman points out that there is a lawsuit pending in federal court which could change the entire direction of everything that we're doing, so, you know, and that would be the lawsuit that's challenging the governor's authority I believe --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. RICHMAN: It's the determination of the State Board of Elections Democratic commissioners based on the language in the adopted budget with regard to the conduct of the Democratic Presidential primary. The hearing was yesterday for almost two hours. And Judge Torres, here in the Southern District reserved decision.

MR. RYAN: Alright. So, we have a federal court that may weigh in on the Presidential Primary, which then of course changes other things that we're doing as well. We're in the process of preparing for the mailing of just around 3.5 million absentee ballot applications, which would cover the eligible voters for the primary elections as presently set, which includes active and inactive voters. If the Presidential Primary is quote/unquote back on, that number will be driven up closer to, you know, somewhere probably between 4.5 million and five million. Not quite a full mailing of all registered, active and inactive voters, but certainly much closer to that than we are right now.

2.3

We have about 4.6 million active voters and another 600,000 inactive voters, 650,000 inactive voters, so that's going to put us closer to 5.3 million. Right now, our mailer is almost two million shy of that, 1.8 million shy of that. But that will go back up, depending on the outcome of this litigation. So we live in a constant state of fluidity that we're doing our very best to respond to minute-by-minute, hourby-hour.

COMMISSIONER ZACCONE: So if I may,
Director Ryan, you suggested we wait until next
week to take up the question of how many ballots
we should be ordering?

MR. RYAN: On the ballot issue for certain, but I think there was another issue that Commissioner Araujo was raising within that context and I may have buried it. Commissioner Araujo, did you have something else that you wanted to discuss?

COMMISSIONER ARAUJO: Yeah, the question was whether or not -- well, the discussion should be whether or not we're actually ordering 100

2.3

percent of the ballots, but also whether or not we're going to be using the early voting sites possibly as the -- is it possible to use the early voting sites as election day sites or that discussion with respect to what are we going to be doing with poll sites in light of the poll workers and that's going to affect how many ballots we order and the distribution.

MR. RYAN: So without, all things being equal and without further action of the board, we are in the early voting sites, the early voting sites will be the early voting sites. And the election day sites would be the election day sites.

If the commissioners wanted to consider another plan, under the State Board regulations, the commissioners have the authority to petition the State Board of Elections for the approval of a plan different from what we are presently engaged in. But that would be something that would have to come from the commissioners.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: This was brought up - this is Commissioner Taylor. It was brought up

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

in the earlier meeting and we wanted to bring it forward I believe to all the commissioners to consider. I don't know whether we want to hold that consideration for executive session or want to discuss it right now.

COMMISSIONER MICHEL: I think -- this is Commissioner Michel, I think what we should do is that it's out there that we're looking at it. I think we should go back to our chief and deputies and get more information that we could evaluate because there's a few things that laid out there is how many absentee ballots. There's so many things, I think we should go back to the chiefs and deputies and come up with a plan or they might have a plan already. I know they're always working. So then we can get a little more and then maybe we can come up with a solution or an idea. But I think I would like to hold off until the chiefs and deputies are now aware we're looking at it. I'm pretty sure they've been thinking about it.

MR. RYAN: Right.

COMMISSIONER MICHEL: So now if we ask

24

2.3

them for their input, I think it would be valuable.

MR. RYAN: And there have been, I know certainly for sure the chiefs and deputies are, like the rest of us, concerned with the staffing issues. So all of that needs to be evaluated and we're hoping we did get at least a preliminary email back from the State Board within the last hour that the matter of staffing and what an office is supposed to look like and a whole laundry list of questions that we posed seeking guidance have been forwarded along through proper channels.

And it should also be noted that we have been in regular communication with the union and have been giving them telephone briefings regarding the efforts that we're making so that when the decisions have to be made, it's not coming as a surprise, either the dates or what we need to do. I've also asked and I'm waiting for the union to get back to us, for a conference call between executive management and the hierarchy of the union so that we can formulate a

2.3

plan that's mutually agreeable and more importantly, meets the safety needs of all of the employees at the Board of Elections, management, union and nonunion employees, so that when we endeavor to do the work necessary to conduct the election, we're doing it as safely and securely as we possibly can under the circumstances.

COMMISSIONER ZACCONE: Director Ryan, this is John Zaccone again. Thank you for doing that, for taking the steps to do it. I would only ask that you include the State Department of Health on any conversations you have with this because it's their guidelines that will really controlling what we can and can't do with our employees.

MR. RYAN: Alright, Commissioner

Zaccone, that's a welcome suggestion. And excuse
me for the lack of clarity. The reason that we
were going through the State Board of Elections
was to establish a point of contact with the

State Department of Health, because the efforts
that we've made to this point to establish that
point of contact have not borne fruit. And so

2.3

we're trying our best to see if we can get a contact person or if there's already printed, published guidance that we can follow, just point us in that direction. We're not asking anybody to do our jobs for us. But what we do need is some understanding by medical experts and others, not lawyers sitting in 42 Broadway, you know, what are we supposed to do under these circumstances. And if get told what we're supposed to do, we'll make our very best efforts to make that happen.

with you 100 percent, but one of the problems is that in this climate, nobody's making any decisions. And sooner or later, we're going to have to make a decision to open up because we have a primary in I think Georgea told me today 50 days. And so sooner or later, without any guidance or anything, we here have to make a decision that we have to start opening, because we can't turn around and let's pick a date and all of a sudden, we're going from zero to 100 percent. We've got to get our staff acclimated to the new rules or whatever guidelines, so even if

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

May 5, 2020

we don't get anything from the state or city or whatever, we have to look at it and try to create our own guidelines if we had to, just like we're doing now.

MR. RYAN: Right. I think all of that is, is accurate and understood. And the efforts that executive management have made to this point is to try to avoid that if we can and to ask the questions that we feel needed to be asked and if, but we are keeping our eye on the clock. There's no question about that and for folks that have seen the movie Apollo 13, the earth is getting big in the window. And we are definitely aware of that and we're trying our best to come up the procedures. But by the same token, if there was thought given and preparation made for guidance that was going to be disseminated to agencies and businesses when New York Pause is lifted, we certainly wanted to take advantage of that analysis and expertise rather than, you know, jump headlong into our own interpretation of what 2.3 it should be, which may or may not be the right thing to do.

2.3

24

1

And the series of questions that we've asked the State Board of Elections, some are very basic and some are more detailed, like for example, do we have to take the temperature of employees as they arrive to work every day, a relatively basic question. If the answer to that question is no, we can check that off the list because the State Department of Health is saying that it's not necessary. But then you start to get into much more detail. How do we -- what is the square footage versus employee ratios that we should be using for office space, what is the square footage to employee ratio that we should be using for warehouse space, how do we treat common areas, bathrooms and lunchrooms. Are those one use per employee facilities now.

I mean these are some of the questions that we've raised that I don't personally have an answer to, and I haven't been able to find it printed anywhere. And, you know, the CDC has given guidance with respect to the operation of poll sites, which is good guidance, but that's a 50 day from now problem, not a tomorrow problem.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

May 5, 2020

And so we're trying to solve the tomorrow problems today and then deal with the others in turn.

COMMISSIONER SHAMOUN: This is Commissioner Shamoun. My, in Brooklyn, based on the amount of work that's ahead of us before the primary, we need some, if not all employees to start coming back to work next week. I don't know that there's a way around it. So as long as I guess we're still on the pause, we should be figuring out what the protocols are now just like we already are, just with more people. And if the guidance right now is certain separation and certain number of employees based on the layout of the office or the warehouse, then that's fine. And then I guess it comes down to equipment and PPE and basic guidelines from the executive office if Brooklyn is not going to be the only office or borough that's trying to get back to work next week. And I don't know it has to be 100 percent, but more, we're on a severely reduced staffing right now and based on the amount of work that needs to be done, we need employees to

2.3

start coming back to work next week. So I would just say executives should work with the chiefs and deputies to come up with short term basic guidelines that will fit for each borough and if things change over the next few weeks, then they change, then we'll have to be nimble and adapt to them, but you know, at the end of the day, we're essential and we have an election in 50 days, we need to get back to work.

COMMISSIONER MICHEL: This is

Commissioner Michal and I agree. But it's the same thing as that the chiefs and deputies to look at what's going on. So I think we should get feedback from them when is the best time to open up each borough because we all have different concerns, different boroughs have different things. So I think this is a bigger conversation to when we're going to do it. We have to get the input from the deputies and chiefs and at the same time, the execs can find out what type of rules we need. Do we need the temperatures, do we [unintelligible] [00:46:11]. So we all have the same idea, we all have to get back. But it's just

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

to pull the trigger and figure it out.

MR. RYAN: Right. And in terms of basic issues, we are sufficiently situated with PPE equipment right now to bring the employees back and we have enough masks and enough gloves. And the reason that we're in that situation is because we had bought sufficient PPE equipment for two elections that really didn't occur, the Queens borough president special in March and then the Presidential Primary in April, which we had anticipated to give some of those to the poll workers that now didn't take them because the elections were canceled. So our stockpile right now is good with respect to the basic stuff. Where I have been seeking the guidance is in stuff that is not quite so intuitive, right. I mean you can tell people here's your care package, here's your mask and gloves for the week wear them. But then once we get the people into an office setting, can two employees sit next to each other in a cubicle area because they're both wearing gloves and masks, or do we have to now start moving furniture and separate people or

2.3

block this desk off and tell these people that they have to sit a desk or two away from each other. These are the kinds of specific inquiries that will impact our operation. And if we did get guidance that told us that based on a certain number of square footage, you cannot exceed a certain number of individuals, well then

Commissioner Shamoun, to your point, well then all of a sudden, we don't have room for 100 percent of our employees and does that somehow practically cap us and what impact would that have on our ability to prepare for and ultimately conduct an election.

And I think it still goes back to
exactly what we discussed from the very beginning
of this when we were talking about it for the
Queens special election. There's a different
between I won't do my job and I can't do my job.
And it's been a constant, steady flow of
attempting to evaluate and reevaluate and comb
over these same issues, you know for the last two
months. And unfortunately the city at large and
the state at large and the country at large is

2.3

dealing with a very, very difficult time. But it goes back to the cancellation of the Presidential Primary or the move of the Presidential Primary from April to June.

All that really did for us in the grand scheme of things was defer all of the issues that we would have otherwise had to confront in April and moved them to June. We were hoping that the specific issues associated with COVID-19 would have presented us, to be in a more clear situation today. That hasn't happened.

So, needless to say, the virus has not cooperated with our schedule and has caused a lot of harm to people and it's a bad thing. So we are looking at all these things, and it's just not an easy calculus to come by because at the end of it, while we are essential, there is no doubt about that, and we, there's no doubt about the fact that we have to put our work forward, we also have a responsibility to our employees and to each other, as human beings, to make certain that whatever we do, we do it in the safest way possible, whatever, that means. And so I'm trying

1

5

May 5, 2020

to not leave any rocks in the forest unturned

before we just strap it on to our own shoulders

4 and move forward.

COMMISSIONER TOWNSEND: Can I also just ask another question about this list as well too? And I think that this is, it's a question that there is DOH quidance on it and would love to get that, but also asking chiefs and deputies to consider and weigh in on the staggering of staff as well. I think so, and forgive me if I overlooked this, but that seems to be the one thing that I don't see on this list in terms of knowing that we're going to have space issues to content with and it's going to be unique to all five borough offices, but looking at staggering schedules for both start times and the different days that our staff are going to need to be in the office. So, you know, do we have to extend the day so that there are folks that are coming in very early and folks coming in on the later side so that we can try to shift out -- you know, just speaking for the Manhattan office, I think it's a challenge to try to move, to try to sort

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

of, you know, reconfigure the office as it exists. And I'm sure that all the other offices are contending with that, you know, to maintain appropriate distancing. So yeah, can we, is that something that you've been discussing, and if not, can we A, get guidance from the state if they are providing any and then B, ask chiefs and deputies to look at how we can stagger that workday and I'm making this up, perhaps there's a Monday, Wednesday Friday schedule and I don't know if we need to go to a weekend and it's a Tuesday, Thursday, Saturday in terms of being able to appropriately staff some things. So just throwing that out there as an idea, but I'd love to understand what the thinking is around that at his point.

MR. RYAN: Sure, Commissioner Townsend, the reason that that particular issue was not put on the list of inquiries to the State Board of Elections is we don't need their input to do that. The contract, the collective bargaining agreement between the board and the employees already provides for a changing of employees work

2.3

schedules to meet the needs of the agency on appropriate notice.

So, any emergency circumstances appropriate notice could be tomorrow, you're coming in at noon instead of coming in at 9:00, right. I mean, so all of that is subject to discussion. It was one of the, on the list of reasons why I have requested a conference call with the union as well, so that we could go over those provisions and say, hey, this is among the things that we're thinking about and we may have to pull the trigger on it and you guys should know that ahead of time and here's the provision of the contract that we're relying overtime make that so that it's not coming as, you know, a blindsided scenario with the union.

We don't really, we don't need the State Board of Elections on that, we can handle that internally and it may also not be, right, it may not be the same in each borough, especially for primary elections when the number of contests vary from county to county, you may not have the need for as much staff in one borough for their

2.3

election prep, you may have a need for greater staff and we could extend the day perhaps by staggering the schedule. So yes, all of that is on the table, and it wasn't on that list because it's not something the State Board of Elections needs to weigh in on.

COMMISSIONER TOWNSEND: Okay. Even better, so then it's something that we can move ahead on, on our own as individual offices see fit for what their needs are?

MR. RYAN: Correct. And so we just would like to, you know, of course do it in a reasonable and measured way so that everybody knows borough A might be doing something one way because of their needs in a moment, and another borough might be doing it differently, but yet with a measure of consistency, right. And that's what the thing that we want to infuse in this process, so that we don't have a problem later on with the union saying well, this one was doing it that way and somebody else was doing it a different way.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: So that Commissioner

2.3

Townsend's issue would be added to the two issues already brought up by Commissioner Michel for discussion with the chiefs and deputies, in other words, we're going to discuss with them the early voting site decisions and their returning to work discussion and you'll add this idea rearranging or staggering or whatever in terms of dates for staff return.

MR. RYAN: Right. And I think -- I think what's really being discussed here is to take the heretofore informal conversations that have gone on and crystallized them and make them more formal. I have --

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: And I think it --

MR. RYAN: -- I have been concerned about not getting some external guidance because you want to make sure whatever you do that the safety and security of the employees, all employees are taking into deep consideration.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: I think that we might want to set a time to have those talks with the chiefs and deputies and get back together again, and we might want to do that prior to next

2.3

Tuesday. I don't know how others feel about it, but I would be open to --

MS. SANDOW: I think Ms. Kontazmanis has something planned for this week if I'm not mistaken.

COMMISSIONER MICHEL: I believe, I mean
I think I also --

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: I would --

spoken to my deputy and chief, you know, just as a conversation, saying what do you think. Now I will tell them, ask them the same, put it in formal writing or something, what you think you would like or what you think is necessary and then I will give it over to the exec and then they'll get it from every borough and try to come up with one master plan.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Do we need a conference call or Webex to talk to each other about this or are we willing to just do these and put something in, in writing? I'm just considering whether we want to schedule another get together before next Tuesday's public

2 meeting.

2.3

COMMISSIONER CAMILO: This is

Commissioner Camilo. I think we could communicate directly with our staff, because I do believe that they've been using staggering throughout this time, you know, with the number of staff. So at least for my office, I know they have some plan in place that they could just elaborate on to see how they would actually implement it once more staff members return. So I think that communication can be given to exec, as

Commissioner Michel said. And that we would be in a better position to then do one plan.

COMMISSIONER ZACCONE: I agree with

Commissioner Camilo because I think one, we
should take time and speak to our staff, and not

put an artificial deadline on them. It may take a

day or two for them to get back to us if not

longer, so let's give them the opportunity. Let's

get their suggestions, communicate them with the

executive staff so they can provide it into one

uniform plan if possible and then we can address

it at next week's meeting because I don't think

2.3

there is immediate need to discuss this since we're still under New York Pause until the 15th of May, we do have time.

COMMISSIONER MICHEL: And this is

Commissioner Michel and I believe that the chiefs
and deputies are probably watching this, so they
already got the start, right, guys. [laughter]

COMMISSIONER ZACCONE: [unintelligible]
[00:58:31] Commissioner Michel, but I think we've
beaten this horse enough right now and we can
move on to other matters.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: But we do want to have a composite plan from the executive staff by our meeting, our scheduled meeting next week. Is that correct?

MR. RYAN: That's [unintelligible] [00:58:54] and we'll be able --

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Right, which means that we then want to have the input from all boroughs by later this week? Friday morning, something like that? Only to -- and not to put an artificial deadline, but to simply give us a goal as to we're all working towards the same

2.3

timeframe if you want [unintelligible]

[00:59:18].

COMMISSIONER ZACCONE: Well, let's say,

I mean my suggestion, as soon as possible,

Commissioner Taylor. I think you and I can have

offline discussions with our staff and come up

with some ideas. And I'm sure the commissioners

can do the same.

COMMISSIONER MICHEL: And I believe everybody has been working on it all in the background anyway.

MR. RYAN: Correct.

commissioner michel: So I think there's so many ideas out there, informal discussions have been going through exec, through people and all that. Now, all we're trying to do is put it into one pile and come up with a solution, so I don't want to sound like this is just something new. Everybody, it's been on everybody's mind for the last three months of how this is going to be pulled off, but now that we're doing to 50 days, now we just have to pull the trigger a little faster, trying to get some good ideas there, some

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

bad ones, and maybe every borough is different. But I think this discussion, having it open like this right now is good. But, you know, I know in Queens and all the other boroughs and the exec they have to do these things and then all of a sudden, two hours later, there's another rule and they have to drop it and they've got to go something else. I've been here and I have seen it, and I also, the same thing, I'm under rules and regulations where I work and every day they're changing the rules on me, so I go into work, say I'm going to get this done, and then all of a sudden, a rule changes. So I think everybody, you know, we're all aware of all the hard work everybody's doing and I think if we can get the chiefs and deputies to now put their ideas down on paper so the execs can turn around and say okay, let's get a formal one that we can present to the commissioners, I think that would be a great idea.

MR. RYAN: Right. And just, just to be clear as well, we're just coming off the conclusion of the petition hearing process, which

2.3

the borough, the general office staff that worked on that and the borough office staff that worked on that was not the full complement of staff that we would normally have, so I think those folks need to be commended as well for getting their job done and getting a ballot set that you guys have voted on today and without that work, we wouldn't even be here.

And unfortunately, we are operating without the full complement of staff so we have been forced by necessity to take things in much smaller segments. Okay, we're getting through the petition process, we've got to get that. We know the other, the other issues are breathing down our neck, but we've been taking it as a day-by-day kind of hour-by-hour process.

But we're there now, and that was the reason that we pushed for the conference call with the State Board of Elections yesterday, so that we could ring the alarm bell and say, like I said earlier, that the earth is looking pretty big in the window right about now and the earth is June 23rd. So, but, just so that we don't get

1 May 5, 2020 2 lulled into the false sense of security that the only thing we have to worry about is June 23rd, 3 we still have to, just a reminder that the May 4 8th deadline needs to be attended to as well. We 5 don't' have to do that today, but that may be the 6 7 subject of a meeting later in the week. 8 COMMISSIONER MICHEL: They said yes, we 9 are watching. [laughter]. They're texting me, yes 10 we are watching. 11 MR. RYAN: With that all having been 12 said, I suppose we can entertain a motion to 13 adjourn as long as we pick a next day, which I 14 think has already been said is May 12th? 15 PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Correct. 16 COMMISSIONER ZACCONE: Do we have any 17 items for executive session? I thought we had 18 personnel and possible litigation matters. 19 MR. RYAN: I don't, I don't have any 20 items for executive session, does legal? 21 MR. RICHMAN: No, commissioners, we're 22 just in the stage of still waiting for decisions.

MR. SAVINO: Commissioner Michel then?

COMMISSIONER MICHEL: I make a motion to

2.3

24

1	May 5, 2020
2	adjourn.
3	MR. RYAN: And before we do that, May
4	12th is the next meeting date?
5	PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Yes.
6	MR. RYAN: Okay. So 5/12, and then
7	Commissioner Michel has made a motion to adjourn.
8	Is there a second?
9	SECRETARY UMANE: Second.
10	MR. RYAN: Are there any objections?
11	Hearing none, the motion carries. We are
12	adjourned. Please disable the live stream.
13	(The meeting concluded at 2:35 p.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Claudia Marques, certify that the foregoing transcript of the Board of Elections in The City of New York on May 5, 2020 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Claudia Marques

Date: March 2, 2021

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

256 West 38th Street - 10th Floor

New York, NY 10018